

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

Γ	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/530,760	04/08/2005	Cathy Bureau	016906-0388	6942
	22428	22428 7590 12/12/2006		EXAMINER	
	FOLEY ANI SUITE 500	D LARDNER LLP		ALI, MOHAMMAD M	
	3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3744	

DATE MAILED: 12/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Ť			\M^			
,		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/530,760	BUREAU ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	•	Mohammad M. Ali	3744			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication r Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
WHIC - Exter after: - If NO - Failur Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the next patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tatute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communicati BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>C</u>	98 April 2005.				
• —	·	This action is non-final.				
3)□″	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the applicati 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	drawn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers	V				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Exar The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya rrection is required if the drawin	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)[a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docun 2. Certified copies of the priority docun 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Busiee the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in priority documents have bee ireau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No In received in this National Stage			
2) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 04/08/05.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/530,760

Art Unit: 3744

Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The abstract is not in a separate sheet. Proper correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by Bureau et al., (DE 10156944 A1). Bureau et al., disclose a method for controlling evaporation temperature in an air conditioning system, in particular a vehicle air conditioning system, having a latent refrigerant accumulator which can be cooled by an evaporator, with the evaporation temperature of a refrigerant evaporator being set as a function of demand to a value between a minimum temperature and maximum temperature which

Application/Control Number: 10/530,760 Page 3

Art Unit: 3744

is below a phase change temperature of the latent medium (as disclose by the applicant in specification page 1, last Para and second page first Para). Bureau et al., disclose the invention substantially as claimed as stated above except water. The air in place of water, the physical construction and the cooling procedure obviously meet the invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mohammad M. Ali whose telephone number is 571-272-4806. The examiner can normally be reached on maxiflex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl J. Tyler can be reached on 571-272-4808. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Md. Mchair Ali MOHAMMAD M. ALI PRIMARY EXAMINER Application/Control Number: 10/530,760

Art Unit: 3744

Page 4